


**THE CONSISTENCY OF SELF-, PEER, AND INSTRUCTOR
ASSESSMENT IN WRITING COURSE AT UNIVERSITY OF
MUHAMMADIYAH MALANG**

THESIS



By:

Setiawan Adi Prasetyo


201410100311242

**ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
UNIVERSITY OF MUHAMMADIYAH MALANG**

2018

**THE CONSISTENCY OF SELF-, PEER, AND INSTRUCTOR
ASSESSMENT IN WRITING COURSE AT UNIVERSITY OF
MUHAMMADIYAH MALANG**

THESIS



By:

Setiawan Adi Prasetyo

201410100311242

**ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
UNIVERSITY OF MUHAMMADIYAH MALANG**

2018

This thesis was written by Setiawan Adi Prasetyo and was approved on 25 July
2018



By:

Advisor II,

Advisor I,

Aninda Nidhommil Hima, M.Pd

Dr. Estu Widodo, M.Hum

This thesis was defended in front of the examiners of the Faculty of Teacher
Training and Education of University of Muhammadiyah Malang
and accepted as one of the requirements to achieve Sarjana
Degree in English Language Education
on August 2nd, 2018

Approved by:
Faculty of Teacher Training and Education
University of Muhammadiyah Malang

Dean,

Dr. Poncojari Wahyono, M.Kes.

Examiners:

1. Drs. Jarum, M.Ed.
2. Rafika Rabba Farah, S.Pd., M.Ed.
3. Dr. Estu Widodo, M.Hum.
4. Aninda Nidhommil Hima, M.Pd.

Signatures:

1.
2.
3.
4.

MOTTO AND DEDICATIONS

MOTTO

“Man Jadda Wajada

Where there is a will, there is a way”

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to:

Allah SWT

My beloved department, English Language and Education

My angelic Father and Mother

My dearest Grandmother

My greatest Brother and Sister

My greatest best friends

AUTHOR'S DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY


I hereby declare that the intellectual content of this thesis is the product of my own work and has not been submitted to any other University or Institution.

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, my thesis does not infringe upon anyone's copyright not violate any proprietary rights and that any ideas, technique, quotations, or any other material from the work of other people include in my thesis, published or otherwise, are fully acknowledged in accordance with the standard referencing practices.

I also declare that this is a true copy of my thesis, as approved by my thesis committee and the English Language Education Department office.

Malang, 22 july 2018

Setiawan Adi Prasetyo



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah rabil ‘alamin, the researcher expresses a highest gratitude to Allah subhanahu wa ta’ala for blessing, love, opportunity, health, and mercy to complete this undergraduate thesis. This undergraduate thesis entitled “An Examination of Self-, Peer-, and Instructor Assessment in University Level” is submitted as the final requirement in accomplishing undergraduate degree at English Language Education Department of Muhammadiyah University.

In arranging this thesis, a lot of people have provided motivation, advice, and support for the researcher. In this valuable chance, the researcher intended to express his gratitude and appreciation to all of them. First, the researcher’s deepest appreciation goes to my beloved parents, and my beloved mother for the endless love, pray, and support me every time, remind me to keep going and never give up.

The researcher presents his sincere appreciation goes to my first advisor, Mr. Dr. Estu Widodo, M.Hum for the guidance in this research. Then to my second advisor Mrs. Aninda Nidhommil Hima, M.Pd who has helped me patiently finishes this undergraduate thesis by giving suggestion, guidance, and correction until the completion of this thesis.

Finally, I would like to thank everybody who was important to the successful realization of this undergraduate thesis. This undergraduate thesis is far from perfect, but it is expected that will be useful not only for the researcher, but also for the readers. For this reason, constructive thoughtful suggestion and critics are welcomed.

TABLE OF CONTENT

Approval.....	iii
Mottos and Dedication	iv
Letter of Authenticity	v
Abstract	vi
Acknowledgements	vii
Table of Content.....	viii
List of Tables.....	x
List of Appendices	xi
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	
1.1. Research Background	1
1.2. Research Problem	3
1.3. Research Objective	4
1.4. Research Significance	4
1.5. Scope and Limitation	4
1.6. Definition of Key Term	5
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1. Assessment.....	6
2.1.1 Self Assessment.....	6
2.1.2 Peer Assessment.....	7
2.1.3 Instructor Assessment.....	9
2.2. Writing	9

CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD

3.1. Research Design.....	11
3.2. Research Subject.....	11
3.3. Data Collection	12
3.3.1 Technique and Instrument.....	12
3.3.2 Research Procedure.....	13
3.4. Data Analysis	14
3.5. Reliability and Validity.....	14

CHAPTER IV FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Findings.....	16
4.1.1 Self Assessment Shapiro-wilk test.....	16
4.1.2 Peer Assessment Shapiro-wilk test.....	17
4.1.3 Instructor Assessment Shapiro-wilk test.....	17
4.2. Discussion.....	18

CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

5.1. Conclusion	22
5.2. Suggestions	22

REFERENCES.....	23
-----------------	----

APPENDICES	29
------------------	----

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1.....	15
Table 4.1.1.....	17
Table 4.1.2.....	17
Table 4.1.3.....	18
Table 4.2.....	18



LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A.....	28
Appendix B.....	29



References

- Abolfazli, Z. and Sadeghi, K. (2013). The effect of assessment type (self vs . peer) on Iranian university EFL students` course achievement. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 70, 1552–1564. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.01.223.
- Alias, M., Masek, A. and Salleh, H. H. (2015) Self, Peer and Teacher Assessments in Problem Based Learning: Are They in Agreements?. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 204, 309–317. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.08.157.
- Andrade, H. L., Du, Y. and Mycek, K. (2010). Rubric-referenced self-assessment and middle school students' writing. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy and Practice*, 17(2), 199–214. doi: 10.1080/09695941003696172.
- Beaumont, R. (2009). A set of notes suitable for seminar use. *Introduction to Health Informatics*.
- Blue, G. M. (1994). Self-Assessment of Foreign Language Skills: Does It Work?. *CLE Working Papers*, 3, 18–35.
- Bouzidi, L. and Jaillet, A. (2009). Can Online Peer Assessment be Trusted ? Online peer assessment. *Journal of Educational Technology Society*, 12(4), 257–268. doi: Article.
- Chang, C. C., Tseng, K. H. and Lou, S. J. (2012). A comparative analysis of the consistency and difference among teacher-assessment, student self-assessment and peer-assessment in a Web-based portfolio assessment environment for high school students. *Computers and Education*. Elsevier Ltd, 58(1), 303–320. doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2011.08.005.
- Chen, C. (2010). Computers & Education The implementation and evaluation of a mobile self- and peer-assessment system. *Computers & Education*. Elsevier Ltd, 55(1), 229–236. doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2010.01.008.
- Davies, P. (2002). Using student reflective self-assessment for awarding degree classifications. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 39(4), 307–319. doi: 10.1080/13558000210161034.
- Dominguez, C. *et al.* (2016). Computers in Human Behavior A comparative analysis of the consistency and difference among online self- , peer- , external- and instructor-assessments : The competitive effect. 60, 112–120. doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2016.02.061.
- Esfandiari, R. and Myford, C. M. (2013). Assessing Writing Severity differences among self-assessors , peer-assessors , and teacher assessors rating EFL essays. *Assessing Writing*. Elsevier Inc., 18(2), 111–131. doi:10.1016/j.asw.2012.12.002.
- Etikan, I. (2016). Comparison of Convenience Sampling and Purposive Sampling

- Comparison of Convenience Sampling and Purposive Sampling. *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistic*, 5(1), 1–4. doi: 10.11648/j.ajtas.20160501.11.
- Evans, A. W., McKenna, C. and Oliver, M. (2014). Assessment & Evaluation in Higher assessment and self - assessment of surgical skills Trainees' perspectives on the assessment and self-assessment. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 30(2), 163–174. doi: 10.1080/0260293042000264253.
- Friedman, B. A., Cox, P. L. and Maher, L. E. (2008). to Peer Assessment. *Journal of Management Education*, 32(5), 580–612.
- van Gennip, N. A. E., Segers, M. S. R. and Tillema, H. H. (2009). Peer assessment for learning from a social perspective: The influence of interpersonal variables and structural features. *Educational Research Review*, 4(1), 41–54. doi: 10.1016/j.edurev.2008.11.002.
- Ghalib, T. K. and Al-hattami, A. A. (2015). Holistic versus Analytic Evaluation of EFL Writing : A Case Study. *English Language Teaching*, 8(7), 225–236. doi: 10.5539/elt.v8n7p225.
- de Grez, L., Valcke, M. and Roozen, I. (2012). How effective are self- and peer assessment of oral presentation skills compared with teachers' assessments?. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 13(2), 129–142. doi: 10.1177/1469787412441284.
- Jung, M. (2016). Peer / Teacher-Assessment Using Criteria in the EFL Classroom for Developing Students' L2 Writing. *Journal of Pan-Pacific Association of Applied Linguistics*, 20(1), 1–20.
- Kilic, D. (2016). An Examination of Using Self- , Peer- , and Teacher-Assessment in Higher Education : A Case Study in Teacher Education. *Canadian Center of Science and Education*, 6(1). doi: 10.5539/hes.v6n1p136.
- Kirby, N. F. and Downs, C. T. (2007). Self-assessment and the disadvantaged student: Potential for encouraging self-regulated learning?. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 32(4), 475–494. doi: 10.1080/02602930600896464.
- Kolmos, A. and Holgaard, J. E. (2007). Alignment of PBL and Assessment. *International Conference on Research in Engineering Education*, 1–9.
- Leach, L. (2012). Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education Optional self-assessment : some tensions and dilemmas. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 37(2), 137–141. doi: 10.1080/02602938.2010.515013.
- Linblom-Ylänne (2006). Self-, peer- and teacher-assessment of student essays. *active learning in higher education*, 7(1), 51–62. doi: 10.1177/1469787406061148.

- Mcdowell, L. (1995). Innovations in Education & Training International The Impact of Innovative Assessment on Student Learning. *Innovation in Education & Training International*, 32(4), 302–313. doi: 10.1080/1355800950320402.
- Orsmond, P., Merry, S. and Reiling, K. (1996). Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education The Importance of Marking Criteria in the Use of Peer Assessment The Importance of Marking Criteria in the Use of Peer Assessment. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 21(3), 239–250. doi: 10.1080/0260293960210304.
- Panadero, E., Romero, M. and Strijbos, J. W. (2013). The impact of a rubric and friendship on peer assessment: Effects on construct validity, performance, and perceptions of fairness and comfort. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*. Elsevier Ltd, 39(4), 195–203. doi: 10.1016/j.stueduc.2013.10.005.
- Porto, M. (2001). Cooperative writing response groups and self-evaluation. *ELT Journal*, 55(January), 38–46.
- Sadler, P. and Good, E. (2006). The impact of self-and peer-grading on student learning. *Educational assessment*, 11(1), 37–41. doi: 10.1207/s15326977ea1101_1.
- Sung, Y. T. *et al.* (2005). The design and application of a web-based self- and peer-assessment system. *Computers and Education*, 45(2), 187–202. doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2004.07.002.
- Topping, K. J. (2009). Peer assessment. *Theory into Practice*, 48(1), 20–27. doi: 10.1080/00405840802577569.
- Tsai, C., Lin, S. S. J. and Yuan, S. (2002). Developing science activities through a networked peer assessment system. *COMPUTERS AND EDUCATION*, 38, 241–252.
- Vu, T. T. and Dall’Alba, G. (2007). Students’ experience of peer assessment in a professional course. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 32(5), 541–556. doi: 10.1080/02602930601116896.
- W. Creswell, J. (2012). Educational research : planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research. (4).
- Weigle, S. C. (2002). Assessing Writing. Cambridge. University Press.
- Winarno, M. E. (2018). Buku Metodologi Penelitian. Malang. UNIVERSITAS NEGERI MALANG.
- Yang, Y. (2010). Computers & Education Students’ reflection on online self-correction and peer review to improve writing. *Computers & Education*. Elsevier Ltd, 55(3), 1202–1210. doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2010.05.017.